

Danielle M. Kays

From: Rory Valas [rav@franchiseelaw.com]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 4:52 PM
To: Danielle M. Kays
Subject: RE: Kumon v. Shah Supplemental Interrogatories

Where in the rules do interrogatories require a response in less than 7 days?

Our response:. We object as insufficient time was provided to respond. Moreover, there was no date or identification provided for the photographs that are the subject of the interrogatories.

Rory

From: Danielle M. Kays [mailto:danielle.kays@chengcohen.com]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 5:48 PM
To: Rory Valas
Subject: RE: Kumon v. Shah Supplemental Interrogatories

The date and method of service are reflected in the certificate of service; in addition to serving you with the Supplemental Interrogatories via email on April 8, you received a hard copy via Fed Ex on April 9. Responses are due today.

Danielle M. Kays
Cheng Cohen LLC
1101 W. Fulton Market, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60607
T| 312.957.4666
M| 312.720.8042
F| 312.277.3961
danielle.kays@chengcohen.com

From: Rory Valas [mailto:rav@franchiseelaw.com]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 2:53 PM
To: Danielle M. Kays
Subject: RE: Kumon v. Shah Supplemental Interrogatories

We received those interrogatories with the deposition notices for the contract employees on Friday.

On what date do you claim that you served those interrogatories?

Rory

From: Danielle M. Kays [mailto:danielle.kays@chengcohen.com]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 3:30 PM
To: Rory Valas
Cc: CCaruso@schwartzcooper.com; srosenberg@schwartzcooper.com
Subject: Kumon v. Shah Supplemental Interrogatories

Rory:

We have not received your client's response to Kumon's Supplemental Interrogatories which are due today. Please forward your responses.

Danielle M. Kays

Cheng Cohen LLC

1101 W. Fulton Market, Suite 200

Chicago, IL 60607

T | 312.957.4666

M | 312.720.8042

F | 312.277.3961

danielle.kays@chengcohen.com